

Environment and Wildlife Effects.



Source: Physicians for Safe Technology <https://mdsafetech.org/environmental-and-wildlife-effects/>
Sections - see below summary.

Summary

- A Animal Life and the Earth's Magnetic Field
- B Increased Radiofrequency Radiation and The Decline of Birds, Bees and Wildlife
- C Wildlife Are More Vulnerable to Wireless Radiation
- D Cell Towers Emit Wireless Radiation Over Dozens of Miles of Terrain
- E Trees are Harmed by Radiofrequency Radiation
- F Bird Migration Disrupted More by Weak Magnetic Fields
- G Homing Pigeons Magneto-Receptors Disoriented by Wireless Communications
- H Bird Navigation Disabled in Cities
- I Bee Colony Collapse Disorder
- J Bee Behavior Disruption by Cell Phone Radiation
- K Colony Collapse Disorder started After the Use of Pesticides
- L Insects: The Base of Our Food Chain Are In Decline
- M Biodiversity and Abundance of Wild Pollinators
- N Ants Can Be Used as a Bio-indicator for Radiofrequency
- O 5G Deployment More Harmful for Insects: The Resonance Effect
- P Mammals: Reproductive Effects
- Q Mammals: Swiss Calves Born with Cataracts
- R Tagging Wildlife Reduces Reproduction and Survival
- S Government Agencies Highlight Cell Tower Risks to Wildlife
- T Robotic Bees: "Smart" or Dumb Pollination?
- U Prevention Smarter Than Cure
- V What are Safe Levels of RF Radiation?
- W Human Survival Linked to Biodiversity and Healthy Ecosystems
- X Safer Alternatives
- Y Reviews on Wildlife

Sections

- 1 Review and General Articles Wildlife
- 2 Books
- 3 Amphibians
- 4 Bacteria
- 5 Bats
- 6 Bees and Insects
- 7 Birds
- 8 Cell Towers
- 9 Fish
- 10 Magneto-reception
- 11 Mammals
- 12 Non-Thermal Effects
- 13 Plants and Seeds
- 14 RadioTracking of Animals
- 15 Trees.

Summary

A Animal Life and the Earth's Magnetic Field

A diverse array of animal life relies upon the earth's magnetic field for navigation, breeding, feeding, migration and survival. Biologists have discovered that **wireless electromagnetic radiation disturbs internal magneto-receptors used for navigation, as well as disrupting other complex cellular and biologic processes in mammals, birds, fish, insects, trees, plants, seeds and bacteria with profound impacts on the natural environment.** Different species have different interactions with radiofrequency radiation (RFR) and differ in their toxic effect. These effects may not be immediately apparent with a slow decline in the health of wildlife seen over time with cumulative exposure.

B Increased Radiofrequency Radiation and The Decline of Birds, Bees and Wildlife

Biologists have noted that wildlife are susceptible to harm from manmade ambient electromagnetic fields. Researchers are now attributing **RFR from cellular telecommunications to be a contributing cause of bee "colony collapse disorder", insect disappearance, the decline in house sparrows in London, as well as the steady deterioration of the worlds bird population with now than 40% of bird species under critical threat.** Scientists note a serious lack of radiation monitoring and protocols to study the impacts and call for precaution in the placement of cell towers and further expansion of wireless broadband. In the United States, Section 704 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 does not allow consideration of environmental effects in the placement of cell towers. [Telecommunications Act of 1996](#)

C Wildlife Are More Vulnerable to Wireless Radiation

Adverse responses from radiofrequency radiation that have been identified include abnormal behavior, developmental abnormalities, diminished reproduction and increased mortality. Birds, bees, turtles, dolphins, salamanders, salmon, amphibians and other animals use the earth's weak magnetic field and their own internal magneto-

receptors to navigate. Birds have feathers that can act as antenna and amplify the negative effects of RF radiation [Bigu-del-Blanco \(1975\)](#). Insects, the base of the food chain, appear particularly susceptible to radiofrequency radiation, especially 5G millimeter wavelengths which are the size of the insect and create a damaging resonance effect.

Mammals, like humans, have similar reproductive organs, immune systems and nervous systems, thus are susceptible to molecular and cellular harm from artificial wireless radiofrequency wavelengths. Katie Singer, in her extensively referenced book *Electronic Silent Spring*, highlights that **the earth's living systems evolved their own internal and external signaling systems in the presence of the earth's low electromagnetic environment and thus are vulnerable to the much higher levels of artificial pulsed electromagnetic radiation experienced today.**

D Cell Towers Emit Wireless Radiation Over Dozens of Miles of Terrain

Stationary cell and radio towers create a circle of high power wireless radiation (1500 feet) around them, with a much larger radius (dozens of miles) of lower power radiation, which scientists have found can contribute to environmental disturbances. In cities the density is much higher with more towers and co-location of multiple antennas on a single tower to accommodate multiple telecommunication carriers. Firstenberg (2017) in his fascinating and well-researched book, *The Invisible Rainbow: A History of Electricity and Life*, describes both observations and biological experiments performed, mostly in Europe, where **radio broadcast towers caused not only human symptoms but also affected widespread forest health with loss of birds, thinner growth rings on trees, poor seed germination and loss of [duckweed](#), among other effects. When these towers were removed, not only did local residents symptoms disappear, the forest recovered. [The Skruna Radio Location Case.](#)**

E Trees are Harmed by Radiofrequency Radiation

In Colorado, Aspen trees have been on the decline and experiments have pointed to **radiation from cell towers causing poor growth and smaller leaves- [Haggerty 2010](#)** A 4-year experiment by [Waldmann-Selsam](#) et al (2016) clearly demonstrated, with accurate RF emission testing, cell tower radiation causing the death of nearby trees over time. He notes, "These results are consistent with the fact that damage afflicted on trees by mobile phone towers usually start on one side, extending to the whole tree over time." These are truly alarming findings and serve as a dire warning on further wireless expansion, especially with regards to agricultural rural zones or wildlife areas with sensitive species. Research also raises the question of wildfires sparked by dead or dying trees near cell towers.

F Bird Migration Disrupted More by Weak Magnetic Fields

Biologists have noted that birds magnetic compass orientation appears more susceptible to weak broadband electromagnetic fields. [Schwarze](#) et al (2016), note in their paper that weak magnetic fields can have much more powerful influence on bird migration than strong fields. They state, "Our results indicated that **the magnetic compass orientation of European robins could not be disrupted by any of the relatively strong narrow-band electromagnetic fields employed here, but that the weak broadband field very efficiently disrupted their orientation**" [Pakhomov \(2017\)](#) and [Wiltschko \(2015\)](#) both confirmed this effect.

G Homing Pigeons Magneto-Receptors Disoriented by Wireless Communications

It is well established that magnetite, a form of iron ore, is found in a wide variety of organisms who use this magneto-receptor to sense the earth's low energy magnetic field as a directional reference. (Cadiou and McNaughton). Magnetite acts as an internal compass of sorts. **Migrating birds, fish, insects and animals connect these magneto-receptors with the earth's natural geomagnetic forces, to successfully guide them in long and short distance journeys, necessary for feeding and breeding. Modern communications systems with a proliferation of cell towers and smart meters in cities and rural areas, create a fluctuating blanket of continuous pulsating artificial radiofrequency wave mixtures that can alter local magnetic fields and thus impair migration and orientation of birds in addition to effects on pollinators. In 1998, soon after cell towers were installed in Pennsylvania, pigeon races ended in disaster as up to 90% of birds were disoriented and lost their navigational skills. [When Homing Pigeons Don't Go Home Again](#) NY Times. Dec 6, 1998.**

H Bird Navigation Disabled in Cities

Independently replicated studies have confirmed the radio frequency (RFR) effects on orientation of birds. [Engles et al \(2014\)](#) **exposed migratory European robins to background electromagnetic noise present in unscreened wooden huts at the University of Oldenburg city campus in Germany and found they could not orient using their magnetic compass. If grounded their orientation reappeared but disappeared again if broadband radiofrequencies were generated inside the huts.** He did not believe the effects at first and performed the same double-blinded study many times in 7 years and with different graduate students to confirm the effect before publishing his findings.

Dr. Engles concluded, "The disruptive effect of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields is not confined to a narrow frequency band and birds tested far from sources of electromagnetic noise required no screening to orient with their magnetic compass." **Research has also demonstrated abnormalities in reproduction and behavior of birds nesting near cell towers in addition to harm to amphibians.** This raises the question of the health and sustainability of cities with a dense assortment of telecommunications towers.

I Bee Colony Collapse Disorder

Bees are a critical pollinator species. Of the 100 crops that provide 90% of the world's food supply, 71 are pollinated by bees, according to the [UN Environmental Program](#). Researchers agree that we are in the midst of a pollinator crisis. The White House in 2014 was so concerned it established a [Federal Strategy](#) with a Pollinator Task Force to promote bee health. As bee numbers have plummeted around the world, scientists have been investigating causes. They have found several contributing factors affecting the health and reproduction of bees, including pesticides, global climate change, loss of habitat and air pollution with new research pointing towards microwave radiation as an important and yet unrecognized cause for concern. Bees contain magnetite granules in their abdomen which offer them the ability to navigate with the earth's natural low power non-pulsating magnetic field.

J Bee Behavior Disruption by Cell Phone Radiation

Bees operate in about a 5 kilometer radius and typically find their way without difficulty. [Sharma et al \(2010\)](#) looked at bee behavior placing a cell phone near a hive. **They found worker bees returned less and less frequently to the beehive after the installation of a mobile phone. There was a significant decline in colony strength and the rate of queen egg laying.**

[Kumar](#) et al (2011) looked at physiologic changes in bees exposed to **cell phone radiation** and found it **creates a stress response, increased agitation and increase in carbohydrate metabolism.**

[Favre](#) et al (2017) clearly demonstrated that bee behavior is disrupted by exposure to cell phone GSM radiofrequency radiation and **caused worker bees to emit a piping signal to swarm.** Bees have also demonstrated **aggression after 30 minutes of cell phone exposure.** Dr. Favre notes, "Mobile phone companies and policy makers point to studies with contradictory results." However, his study distinctly demonstrated adverse effects. He invites others to reproduce his research.

K Colony Collapse Disorder started After the Use of Pesticides

[Cammaerts](#) (2017), biologist and author of 54 peer reviewed publications on insects, observes that the sharp decline of bees did not start with the use of insecticides but much later and removal of pesticides has not been accompanied by the expected rise in bee populations. While she does not discount a role for insecticides causing bee decline, she advises beekeepers to consider that radiofrequency radiation could also have an impact and protect their hives by locating these in a low EMF environment or placing them in a Faraday cage or enclosure.

L Insects: The Base of Our Food Chain Are In Decline

Bees are not the only insects necessary for sustainability and all are suffering decline. Purdue University among others points out that insects are critical in living ecosystems. They are wild and domestic pollinators, provide a source of food for amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals, are primary decomposers for fungi, dead plants and animals and also eat other pest insects thus playing a beneficial role in the balance of nature. A Yale report in 2016 highlighted a **dramatic drop in insect populations in many parts of the world.** Insect traps set up in Germany are showing a startling 4 to 5 fold reduction. Biologists attribute this to monoculture farming, pesticides and habitat loss, however the scientific literature points to radiofrequency radiation as another significant contributing cause. [Yale report on insect decline.](#)

M Biodiversity and Abundance of Wild Pollinators

[Lazaro](#) (2016) emphasizes pollination as a key ecosystem service that needs protection. His group measured insect biodiversity on **wild pollinator communities including wild bees, hoverflies, bee flies, beetles, butterflies, and wasps** on 2 Greek islands at variable distances from telecommunication antennas. He found that **all pollinator groups, except butterflies, were affected with a decrease in abundance and composition of insects closer to antennas.** He did note an opposite effect on however, that underground-nesting wild bees and bee flies unexpectedly increased with EMR. He stated that this effect on wild insects could have

“additional ecological and economic impacts on the maintenance of wild plant diversity, crop production and human welfare.”

N Ants Can Be Used as a Bio-indicator for Radiofrequency

Belgian entomologist Marie-Claire [Cammaerts](#) (2017) has done a number of studies on cell phone radiation and found that insects, particularly **ants, are extremely sensitive to radiofrequency radiation (RFR)**. In fact, some methods of insect control use RFR. She performed dozens of experiments on countless numbers of ants with the following observations

- **Ants lost their olfactory and visual memory after GSM cell phone exposure and with a second exposure causing cumulative damage.** (Cammaerts [2012](#))
- **3 Minutes of exposure of ants to cell phone radiation caused “locomotion ataxia”, decreased linear speed, and increased angular speed.** The ants needed two to four hours for recovery. **When the phone was in standby mode under the ants nest, the ants relocated their nests far away from the cell phone with their eggs, larvae and nymphs. They returned after removal of the cell phone.** Cammaerts ([2013](#))
- **Ants under the influence could not follow a pheromone trail or forage for food effectively, return to their nest and after 180 hours there was deterioration of the colony.** Cammaerts ([2013](#)) notes RFR “effects social insects’ behavior and physiology.”

O 5G Deployment More Harmful for Insects: The Resonance Effect

The increase the number and density of cell towers in cities and rural areas coincides with insect and bird decline. Older generation technology emits RF frequencies between 100 MHz and 6 GHz with wavelengths in inches to feet. A new 5th generation (5G) of wireless communications is being proposed, adding to the mix millimeter waves (fractions of an inch long) between 6 GHz to over 100 GHz. These high frequency wavelengths pulse at billions of cycles per second (1GHz=1 billion cycles per second).

Radiofrequency wavelengths, especially those that are modulated (or pulsed) are known to cause much more disruption to organisms, or structures within the organism, if the frequency wavelength is the same size as the organism, organ structure, cell or cell structure. This phenomenon is called resonance. It leads to heightened vibration and thus injury. Insects are smaller in size and close to the width of 5G frequencies.

[Thielens \(2018\)](#) studied this effect on 4 different insects exposed to electromagnetic fields from 2 to 120 GHz. He found “The insects show a maximum in absorbed radio frequency power at wavelengths that are comparable to their body size.....This could lead to changes in insect behaviour, physiology, and morphology over time due to an increase in body temperatures, from dielectric heating.” Insects are thus especially susceptible to 5G microwave frequencies. There has been no premarket testing of this 5G technology however a body of research demonstrates harm to insects. Policies to expand deployment of this technology unfortunately do not consider these biological or environmental effects.

P Mammals: Reproductive Effects

Magras and Xenos (1997) performed an in-vivo study looking at prolonged exposure to low intensity radio frequency radiation and reproduction. They used 12 pairs of mice, placing them in various areas in an antenna park in a small mountaintop village in Greece near TV and FM broadcast transmitters. The animals lived in this area for 6 months with levels below well below CENELEC and IEEE standards. 6 pairs of animals were used as a control and placed in an environment free from RF radiation, about 10 KM from the town of Chortiatis, where the antennas were located. He notes, "Mice from the BALB/c/f breeding colony obtained from the "Theageneion Anticancer Institute of Thessaloniki" have been used for years in our laboratory for reproduction. Repeated pregnancies with a recovery period of 1 – 4 weeks for over a year, had never affected the fertility of the dams or any morphological parameters of the offspring, a fact that to our knowledge has not been questioned in the available literature." Their study showed a progressive reduction in litter size in the exposed female animals to the point of irreversible sterility by the 5th generation. The males exhibited rough hair and emaciation at the end of the study.

Panagopoulos (2007) exposed flies to 2 different digital cell phone frequencies for a few minutes a day for the first 6 days of life and found widespread cell death. He states, "Induced cell death is recorded for the first time, in all types of cells constituting an egg chamber (follicle cells, nurse cells and the oocyte) and in all stages of the early and mid-oogenesis....The exposure conditions were similar to those to which a mobile phone user is exposed..."

The NIEHS National Toxicology Program on Cell Phones and Cancer released their results in 2018 looking at non-thermal effects of cell phone non-ionizing radiation. They showed consistent perinatal effects, including lower pup body weights and lower pup survival. The **NTP study**, at https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/tr595peerdraft.pdf , also noted **clear evidence of heart tumors, a statistically significant increase in brain tumors and adrenal gland tumors, as well as DNA damage and cardiomyopathy similar to aging. An abundance of in vitro studies in animals shows that non-ionizing radiation can damage reproduction by creating a stress response in cells producing damaging reactive oxygen species.** See also [Physicians for Safe Technology Reproductive Health Effects Scientific Literature.](#)

Q Mammals: Swiss Calves Born with Cataracts

Several studies indicate that low power non-ionizing microwave radiation contributes to and can cause cataracts. Heat is a well-established mechanism for induction of cataracts as the lens does not have vessels that can dissipate heat. Non-thermal effects however have also been demonstrated in some research, which show adverse effects on lens transparency, alteration of epithelial cell proliferation and apoptosis, and a stress response in lens epithelial cells. Damage to lens epithelial cells is associated with cataracts. Hassig et al (2009) investigated in Swiss calves the prevalence of nuclear cataract near mobile phone base stations. They found (32 %) of the calves had various degrees of nuclear cataract. The number of antennas within 100 to 199 meters was associated with oxidative stress and there was an association between oxidative stress and the distance to the nearest mobile phone base station. In a later [study](#), **Hassig et al (2012) looked at the incidence of cataracts in newborn calves before and after a mobile phone cell tower was placed and found a 3.5 times higher rate of significant cataract after the mobile phone station was installed.**

R Tagging Wildlife Reduces Reproduction and Survival

Tagging animals for tracking is an important tool to help researchers understand their behavior, habitat selection and migration patterns. Some studies have indicated no harm from these tracking devices, however, other **studies show lowered survival rates and reproductive rates. There may be a direct interference in the behavior of the animal due to discomfort as well as direct harm from the continuous pulsing radiofrequency which is located on the body**, as a growing body of science demonstrates. Balmori ([2016](#)) warns, “It is paradoxical that, at the same time, field scientists investigating the movements and other aspects of animal biology are providing animals with radio transmitters that emit the same type of radiation, since this may affect the results concerning their orientation and movement.” There is also **concern with regards to successful reproduction and animal behavior with close proximity to RF radiation.**

S Government Agencies Highlight Cell Tower Risks to Wildlife

The US Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department of Interior (DOI) has had concerns for many years about the adverse impacts of cell towers and electromagnetic radiation on migratory birds and other wildlife. It is **conservatively estimated that 4 to 5 million birds die each year in cell tower and guy-wire collisions.** (Government- [Manville](#) 2005, 2009). The DOI noted that there were 241 species of birds whose populations merit special protection. Balmori has documented **wildlife effects after cell towers were constructed including nest and site abandonment, plumage deterioration, locomotion problems, and death in House Sparrows, White Storks, Rock Doves, Magpies, Collared Doves, and other species.**

T Robotic Bees: “Smart” or Dumb Pollination?

Are we creating dead zones in cities where urban or rural farmers will not be able to grow food or have a vegetable garden? The tech industry may advise us to use the very technology that is harming ecosystems by using bee [drones](#) to pollinate our crops. Walmart has already filed a [patent](#) for a pollinating robotic bee. What about ownership of drones, privacy, security and adverse effects on sensitive native bees and flowers with the use of these drones? Many questions, no answers but predictable untoward results.

U Prevention Smarter Than Cure

Will the situation worsen? **Solving the real problems causing the decline of species seems smarter than always trying to develop a new and potentially more toxic industry to fix it.** Patients are often given prescriptions to treat the numerous chronic diseases of our modern culture. These medications can cause side effects that may be far worse than the disease. Physicians now understand it is often more sensible and effective to help patients change their diet, add exercise and avoid toxic exposures to promote health and prevent disease. Wireless radiation is another toxic exposure with measurable biological effects. **Should we not approach wireless technology with the same preventative precautions as we do pesticides, industrial chemicals and ionizing radiation?**

V What are Safe Levels of RF Radiation?

Sage, Carpenter, Blank and other scientists note in the Bioinitiative Report that **non-thermal bioeffects are clearly established.** There is an **urgent need for government agencies to**

adopt a realistic biologically based exposure standard to replace the thermal (SAR) standard, which is far too permissive and not protective of human or environmental health.

The Bioinitiative Report reviewed studies looking at the lowest levels of non-thermal, non-ionizing radiofrequency that did not cause harmful biological effects. Their conclusions, based on peer reviewed research, indicated that there should be a “ scientific benchmark of 0.003 uW/cm² or three nanowatts per centimeter squared for 'lowest observed effect level' for RFR is based on mobile phone base station-level studies.” They also suggest “Applying a ten-fold reduction to compensate for the lack of long-term exposure (to provide a safety buffer for chronic exposure, if needed) or for children as a sensitive subpopulation...”. This translates into a **recommended precautionary action exposure level of 0.0003 uW/cm²**. Our current U.S. [guidelines](#) follow that of the [WHO](#) International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), whose guideline is 200 uW/cm² to 1000 uW/cm² (2 W/m² to 10 W/m²) for RF radiation depending on frequency.

W Human Survival Linked to Biodiversity and Healthy Ecosystems

Human survival depends on biodiversity and complex ecosystems for a healthy functioning environment, maintaining clean air, clean water and sustainable soils. The environment is our natural capital. It appears now under threat from the proliferation of radiofrequency towers along with habitat loss and exposure to other environmental toxins such as pesticides and industrial chemicals. **It is critical to consider the adverse effects of the proposed expansion of wireless telecommunications towers and lower atmospheric balloons in cities and rural areas before they are deployed. Like sonic threats [sonic threats](#) for marine mammals the hazards are not seen, heard or felt by humans and harm to animals is slow to be realized.**

X Safer Alternatives

Consideration should be given to relocating antennas in residential, school and hospital zones where people live and work and **converting broadband to fiberoptic cabled systems which are safer and more sustainable in both cities and rural areas.**

See also [PST Broadband Expansion](#)

Y Reviews on Wildlife

Balmori (2015) notes in his latest review “**Current evidence indicates that exposure at levels that are found in the environment (in urban areas and near base stations) may particularly alter the receptor organs to orient in the magnetic field of the earth. These results could have important implications for migratory birds and insects, especially in urban areas, but could also apply to birds and insects in natural and protected areas where there are powerful base station emitters of radiofrequencies.**

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273121908_Anthropogenic_Radiofrequency_Electromagnetic_Fields_as_an_Emerging_Threat_to_Wildlife_Orientation

The Cucurachi Review (2012) of 113 peer-reviewed publications revealed, “In about two thirds of the reviewed studies ecological effects of RF-EMF was reported at high as well as at low dosages. The very low dosages are compatible with real field situations, and could be found under environmental

conditions.” <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233974663> A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields RF-EMF

Panagopoulos (2013) explains in his review of experimental data, how **living organisms are in harmony with terrestrial and magnetic fields, which effect their cellular processes and even circadian rhythms. Interference of this delicate interaction with human wireless technology can adversely affect the health and well-being of ecosystems.** https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product_info.php?products_id=41753f

The Saravanamuttu Review (2013) found that harm to the environment is demonstrated but there are no long term studies to support safety of this technology. Sivani and Sudarsanam state, **“Based on current available literature, it is justified to conclude that RF-EMF radiation exposure can change neurotransmitter functions, blood-brain barrier, morphology, electrophysiology, cellular metabolism, calcium efflux, and gene and protein expression in certain types of cells even at lower intensities.”** They note that these studies are important to identify the frequencies, intensities and durations that are safer, enabling the use of wireless technology while ensuring the health and sustainability of the environment. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258521207> Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field RF-EMF from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem- A review

A 2010 review paper from the Ministry of Environment and Forests in India reviewed all available peer reviewed research on the impacts of wireless radiofrequency (RF) on living organisms, including birds and bees, plants, animals and humans. **Of 919 articles collected 593 showed adverse impacts. In each category of organism, over 60% of the research indicated harm to that biological species.** http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/final_mobile_towers_report.pdf

Sections

1 Review and General Articles Wildlife

- **EKLIPSE: The impacts of artificial Electromagnetic Radiation on wildlife (fora and fauna). Current knowledge overview: a background document to the web conference. A report of the EKLIPSE project.** May 26, 2018. Knowledge and Learning Mechanism on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. European Union. http://www.eclipse-mechanism.eu/documents/15803/0/EMR-KnowledgeOverviewReport_FINAL_27042018.pdf/1326791c-f39f-453c-8115-0d1c9d0ec942 **Eclipse Home Page**– <http://www.eclipse-mechanism.eu/news>
- **Comments on Environmental Impact of Radiofrequency Fields from Mobile Phone Base Stations. (2016)** Panagopoulos D et al. Clinical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology. April

2016. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301680565> Comments on Environmental Impact of Radiofrequency Fields from Mobile Phone Base Stations
- **Anthropogenic radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as an emerging threat to wildlife orientation. (2015)** Balmori A. Science of the Total Environment. Vol 518-519, 15 June, 2015. Pages 58-60.
68. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969715002296> or <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273121908> Anthropogenic Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields as an Emerging Threat to Wildlife Orientation
 - **Electrosmog and species conservation.(2014)** Balmori A. Science of The Total Environment. Volume 496, 15 October 2014 , Pages 314-316. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969714010912>
 - **Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem—A review. (2013)** Sivani S and Saravanamuttu S. Biology and Medicine. 4(4):202-216. January 9. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258521207> Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field RF-EMF from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem-A review
 - **Electromagnetic Interaction Between Environmental Fields and Living Systems Determines Health and Wellbeing. (2013)** Dimitris J. Panagopoulos. Electromagnetic Fields: Principles. Biophysical Effects. ©2013. Nova Science Publishers, Inc. https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product_info.php?products_id=41753f
 - **A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). (2012)** Cucurachi S et al. Environment International. 51C:116-140. 2012. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233974663> A review of the ecological effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields RF-EMF
 - **Report on Cell Tower Radiation. Submitted to Secretary, DOT, Delhi, India.(2010)** Kumar G. Electrical engineering Department. IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumai <https://www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~mwave/GK-cell-tower-rad-report-DOT-Dec2010.pdf>
 - **Report on Possible Impacts of Communication Cell Towers on Wildlife Including Birds and Bees. (2010)** The Ministry of Environment and Forest. http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/final_mobile_towers_report.pdf
 - **The Birds, the Bees and Electromagnetic Pollution. (2009).** Andrew Goldsworthy. <https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/7520958012.pdf>
 - **[The influence of electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna]. (2009)** [Rochalska M. Med Pr.](#)2009;60(1):43-50. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19603696>
 - **Mobile telephony radiation effects on living organisms. (2008)** DJ Panagopoulos and Margaritas LH. University of Athens. Published by Nova Science Publishers. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251669858> Mobile telephony radiation effects on living organisms
 - **The effects of microwaves on the trees and other plants. (2003)** Balmori Martínez A. Valladolid, Spain, 2003b. http://www.hese-project.org/de/emf/WissenschaftForschung/Balmori_Dr._Alfonso/showDoc.php?lang=de&header=Dr.%20Balmori&file=THE%20EFFECTS%20OF%20MICROWAVES%20ON%20THE%20TREES%20AND%20OTHER%20PLANTS.html&back=../showAuthor.php?target=Balmori_Dr._Alfonso
 - **The effects of microwave radioation on the wildlife. Preliminary results. (2003)** Alfonso Balmori Martínez. Feb 2003. Manuscript submitted for publication to Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine <http://www.whale.to/b/martinez.pdf>

- **KNOCK Forum-Knowledge Network, Open Community. EMF and Wildlife.** http://www.eklipse-mechanism.eu/forum_discussion?p_p_id=forumdiscussions_WAR_EklipseSBportlet&p_p_lifecycle=0&p_p_state=normal&p_p_mode=view&p_p_col_id=column-1&p_p_col_count=1&forumdiscussions_WAR_EklipseSBportlet_mvcPath=%2Fhtml%2Fforumdiscussions%2Fview_subject.jsp&forumdiscussions_WAR_EklipseSBportlet_subjectId=6
- **[Ecological significance of electromagnetic fields: the 20th century–century of electricity, the 21st–century of magnetism]. (2003) Lazetić B. *Med Pregl.*2003;56 Suppl 1:31-6.** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15510911>
- **The effects of microwave radiation from mobile telephones on humans and animals. (2000) Galeev AL. *Behav. Physiol.* 30:187–194, 2000.** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10872729>
- **Concern for Europe’s Tomorrow. Health and the Environment in the European Region. WHO. 1995.** http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/98266/WA3095CO.pdf

2 Books

- **The Invisible Rainbow: A History of Electricity and Life.** Arthur Firstenberg . AGB Press. 2017.
- **Electronic Silent Spring.** Katie Singer. Portal Books (2013)
- **Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields Mechanisms, Modeling, Biological Effects, Therapeutic Effects, International Standards, Exposure Criteria.** Peter Stavroulakis (Ed.) (2003) Springer Publisher. <https://www.springer.com/us/book/9783540429890>
- **The Body Electric: *Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life.* (1985) Robert O Becker . Harper Paperbacks.**

3 Amphibians

- **Mobile Phone Mast Effects on Common Frog (*Rana temporaria*) Tadpoles: The City Turned into a Laboratory. (2010) Balmori A. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 29: 31–35, 2010.** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20560769>
- **The incidence of electromagnetic pollution on the amphibian decline: Is this an important piece of the puzzle? (2006) Balmori Martínez A. *Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry.* 88(2): 287- 299, 2006.** <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02772240600687200>
- **Cleavage planes in frog eggs are altered by strong magnetic fields. (1998) Denegre JM et al. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science (PNAS)* 95:14729–14732, 1998.** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC24517/>
- **Mobile phone masts effects of electromagnetic radiation on tadpole development in the common frog (*Rana temporaria* L.). (1998) Grefner NM et al. *Russian J. Ecol.* 29:133–134, 1998.** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20560769>

- **Abnormal limb regeneration in adult newts exposed to a pulsed electromagnetic field. (1998)** Landesman RH et al. Teratology 42:137–, 1990. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2218941>

4 Bacteria

- **Resonance effect of millimeter waves in the power range from 10^{-19} to 3×10^{-3} W/cm² on Escherichia coli cells at different concentrations (1996)** Belyaev IY et al. Bioelectromagnetics. 1996;17(4):312-21. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8891190>
- **Transgenic nematodes as biomonitors of microwave-induced stress. (1998)** Daniells C et al. Mutation Research, 399(1), 55-64, 1998. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9635489>

5 Bats

- **The Aversive Effect of Electromagnetic Radiation on Foraging Bats—A Possible Means of Discouraging Bats from Approaching Wind Turbines. (2009)** Nicholis B and Racey P. PLoS One. July 16, 2009. <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0006246>
- **Bats Avoid Radar Installations: Could Electromagnetic Fields Deter Bats from Colliding with Wind Turbines? (2007)** Nicholis B and Racey P. PLoS ONE 2(3): e297. March 2007, Issue 3. <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0000297>

6 Bees and Insects

- **Exposure of Insects to Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields from 2 to 120 GHz. (2018)** Thielens A et al. Scientific Reports, Article number:3924 (2018). <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-22271-3>
- **Disturbing Honeybees' Behavior with Electromagnetic Waves: a Methodology. (2017)** Daniel Favre. Journal of Behavior. August 7, 2017. <https://www.jscimedcentral.com/Behavior/Articles/behavior-2-1010.pdf>
- **Is Electromagnetism one of the causes of the CCD? A work plan for testing this hypothesis. (2017)** Marie-Claire Cammaerts. Journal of Behavior. 2 (1):1006. March 28, 2017. <https://www.jscimedcentral.com/Behavior/behavior-2-1006.php>
- **Electromagnetic Radiation of Mobile Communication Antennas Affects the Abundance and composition of Wild Pollinators. (2016)** Lazaro, A. Journal of Insect Conservation 20(2):1-10, April 2016. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301647025_Electromagnetic_radiation_of_mobile_telecommunication_antennas_affects_the_abundance_and_composition_of_wild_pollinators

- **Effect of Electromagnetic (cell phone) radiations on Apis mellifera. (2015)** Dalio, J. Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science Volume 2 ~ Issue 12 (2015) pp:06-10. Feb. 2015. <http://questjournals.org/iraas/papers/vol3-issue1/B310610.pdf>
- **Effect of high-frequency radiations on survival of the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.). (2015)** Darney,K. Apidologie: 58 59 December 2015. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287972544_Effect_of_highfrequency_radiations_on_survival_of_the_honeybee_Apis_mellifera_L
- **Pest control by microwave and radio frequency energy: dielectric properties of stone fruit. (2015)** Ling B et al.Agronomy for sustainable Development. January 2015, Volume 35,Issue 1, pp 233–240. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13593-014-0228-3>
- **Effect of Short-Term GSM Radiation at Representative Levels in Society on a Biological Model: The Ant Myrmica sabuleti. (2014)** Cammaerts MC et al. [Journal of Insect Behavior](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262679650_Effect_of_Short-Term_GSM_Radiation_at_Representative_Levels_in_Society_on_a_Biological_Model_The_Ant_Myrmica_sabuleti) 27(4) · July 2014. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262679650_Effect_of_Short-Term_GSM_Radiation_at_Representative_Levels_in_Society_on_a_Biological_Model_The_Ant_Myrmica_sabuleti
- **A magnetic compass aids monarch butterfly migration. (2014)** Guerra PA et al. Nature Communications. Volume 5, Article number: 4164 (2014). <https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms5164>
- **Effect of electromagnetic radiations on brooding, honey production and foraging behavior of European honeybees (Apis mellifera L.) (2014)** Mall and Kumar. African Journal of Agricultural Research. Vol 9(13);1078-1085, March 2014. http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article1396540463_Mall%20and%20Kumar.pdf
- **Food collection and response to pheromones in an ant species exposed to electromagnetic radiation. (2013)** Cammaerts MC et al. Electromagn Biol Med. 2013 Sep;32(3):315-32. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23320633>
- **Ants can be used as bio-indicators to reveal biological effects of electromagnetic waves from some wireless apparatus. (2013)** Cammaerts MC and Johansson O. Electromagn Biol Med. 2014 Dec;33(4):282-8. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23977878>
- **Detection and learning of floral electric fields by bumblebees. (2013)** Clarke D et al. Science. 2013 Apr 5;340(6128):66-9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23429701>
- **GSM 900 MHz radiation inhibits ants' association between food sites and encountered cues. (2012)** Cammaerts MC et al. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine, Early Online: 1–15, 2012. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22268919>
- **Decline of monarch butterflies overwintering in Mexico: is the migratory phenomenon at risk? (2013)** Brower LP et al. Insect conservation and Diversity. March 21, 2011. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00142.x>
- **Changes in Paramecium caudatum (Protozoa) near a switched-on GSM telephone. (2011)** Cammaerts MC et al. Electromagnetic Biology and medicine. Volume 30, 2011. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/15368378.2011.566778?src=recsys>
- **Exposure to cell phone radiations produces biochemical changes in worker honey (2011)** Kumar N. Toxicol Int. 2011 Jan-Jun; 18(1): 70–72. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3052591/>
- **Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR) Clashes with Honey Bees. (2011)** Sahib S. International Journal of Environmental Sciences. Vol 1, No.5, 2011. <http://www.ipublishing.co.in/jesvol1no12010/EIJES2044.pdf>

- **Short Communication. Impact of mobile phones on the density of honeybees.** Journal of public administration and policy research Vol. 3(4) pp. 131-117 April 2011. **Sahib, S.** <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JHF/article-full-text-pdf/B0ABD121249>
- **Bioeffects of mobile telephony radiation in relation to its intensity or distance from the antennae. (2010)** Panagopoulos DJ et al. J. Radiat. Biol., Vol. 86, No. 5, May 2010, pp. 345–357. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20397839>
- **Changes in honeybee behavior and biology under the influence of cellphone radiations. (2010)** Sharma vp et al. Current Science 98:1376–1378. May 2010. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225187745_Changes_in_honey_bee_behaviour_and_biology_under_the_influence_of_cell_phone_radiations
- **Report on Possible Impacts of Communication Cell Towers on Wildlife Including Birds and Bees. (2010)** The Ministry of Environment and Forest. 2010. http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/final_mobile_towers_report.pdf
- **Bioeffects of mobile telephony radiation in relation to its intensity or distance from the antennae. (2010)** Panagopoulos D et al. Int. J. Radiat. Biol., Vol. 86, No. 5, May 2010, pp. 345–357. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20397839>
- **Mobile phone-induced honeybee worker piping. (2009)** Daniel F June 2009. Apidologie (2011) 42:270–279. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13592-011-0016-x>
- **Insect control by radio-frequency high-strength electric fields. (2009)** Ponomaryova I et al. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5393326/>
- **Radio frequency magnetic fields disrupt magnetoreception in American cockroach. (2009)** Vácha M. J Exp Biol. 2009 Nov;212(Pt 21):3473-7 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19837889>
- **Magnetoreception System in Honeybees (Apis mellifera). (2007)** Hsu C-Y et al. PLoS One. 2007; 2(4): e395. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1851986/>
- **Effects of electromagnetic exposition on the behavior of the honeybee (Apis mellifera). (2007)** Kimmel S et al. Environmental Systems Research, 8: 1–8. http://somr.info/rfr/lib/Kimmel_et_al_2007.pdf or https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228510851_Electromagnetic_Radiation_Influences_on_Honeybees_Apis_mellifera
- **Cell death induced by GSM 900-MHz and DCS 1800-MHz mobile telephony radiation. (2007)** Panagopoulos D et al. Mutat Res. 626:69–78. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17045516>
- **Can Electromagnetic Exposure Cause a Change in Behaviour? Studying Possible Non-Thermal Influences on Honey Bees- An Approach within the Framework of Educational Informatics. (2006)** Harst W et al. ACTA SYSTEMICA – IIAS International Journal, Vol. VI, No. 1, 1-6 2006. (2 Articles) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237523719_Can_Electromagnetic_Exposure_Cause_a_Change_in_Behaviour_Studying_Possible_Non-Thermal_Influences_on_Honey_Bees_-_An_Approach_within_the_Framework_of_Educational_Informatics
- **Electromagnetic radiation: Influences on honeybees (Apis mellifera). (2006)** Kimmel SJ et al. 2006. Researchgate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228510851_Electromagnetic_Radiation_Influences_on_Honeybees_Apis_mellifera
- **AAA Effect of GSM 900 MHz mobile phone radiation on the reproductive capacity of Drosophila melanogaster. (2004)** Karabarounis, A Electromagn. Biol. Med. 23:29–43. <http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1081/JBC-120039350>

- **“Effects of Different Kinds of EMFs on the Offspring Production of Insects.” 2nd International Workshop on Biological effects of EMFS.(2002)** Panagopoulos DJ. & Margaritis LH. (2002) Rhodes (Greece): 348-452. A list of his works. https://www.researchgate.net/scientific-contributions/38908092_Dimitris_J_Panagopoulos
- **Worker piping associated with foraging in undisturbed queenright colonies of honey bees.** Apidologie 27 (1996) 13-20. http://www.apidologie.org/articles/apido/abs/1996/01/Apidologie_0044-8435_1996_27_1_ART0002/Apidologie_0044-8435_1996_27_1_ART0002.html
- **Bursts of magnetic fields induce jumps of misdirection in bees by a mechanism of magnetic resonance. (1988)** Korall, H et al. Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology, 162(3), 279-284. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00606116>
- **Biological Effects of a 765_kV transmission line: Exposures and thresholds in honeybee colonies.** Bioelectromagnetics. Greenberg, B. http://www.researchgate.net/publication/227913246_Biological_effects_of_a_765kV_transmission_line_Exposures_and_thresholds_in_honeybee_colonies
- **Effects of Electric Charges on Honeybees (1976)** Warnke, U. Bee World. Volume 57, Issue 2. 1976. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0005772X.1976.11097592>

Bees and Insect Related articles

- **Magnetic Sensing through the Abdomen of the Honey bee. (2016)** Liang C-H et al. Nature. Scientific Reports. Volume 6, Article number: 23657 (2016). <https://www.nature.com/articles/srep23657>
- **Importance of Insects.** Purdue University. (2014) https://extension.entm.purdue.edu/radicalbugs/index.php?page=importance_of_insects
- **Bees Can Sense Electric Fields of Flowers. (2013)** National Geographic. Dr Clarke and Dr. Whitney. U of Bristol. Feb 2013. <http://phenomena.nationalgeographic.com/2013/02/21/bees-can-sense-the-electric-fields-of-flowers/>
- **Severe Honey Bee (Apis mellifera) Losses Correlate with Geomagnetic and Proton Disturbances in Earth’s Atmosphere, (2015)** Ferrari TE and Tautz J. Journal of Astrobiology & Outreach. <https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/severe-honey-bee-apis-mellifera-losses-correlate-with-geomagnetic-and-proton-disturbances-in-earths-atmosphere-2332-2519-1000134.php?aid=57103#corr>
- **Detection and Learning of Floral Electric Fields by Bumblebees. (2013)** Clarke D et al. 2013 Apr 5;340(6128):66-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1230883>

7 Birds

- **Very weak oscillating magnetic field disrupts the magnetic compass of songbird migrants. (2017)** Pakhomov A et al. *J R Soc Interface*. 2017 Aug;14(133). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28794163>
- **Weak Broadband Electromagnetic Fields are More Disruptive to Magnetic Compass Orientation in a Night-Migratory Songbird (Erithacus rubecula) than**

- Strong Narrow-Band Fields. (2016).** Schwarze S et al. Front Behav Neuroscience. March, 2016. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=PMID%3A+27047356>
- **Magnetoreception in birds: The effect of radio-frequency fields. (2015)** Wiltschko R et al. [Journal of The Royal Society Interface](http://www.royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos) 12(103) · February 2015. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270002583 Magnetoreception in birds The effect of radio-frequency fields](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270002583_Magnetoreception_in_birds_The_effect_of_radio-frequency_fields)
 - **Cellular Apoptosis and Blood Brain Barrier Permeability Changes in the Pre-Incubated Chicken Embryo's Brain by Effect of Electromagnetic Fields.(2015)** Kalantarai S et al. Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Science. [ZJRMS](http://zjrms.ir) 2015, 17(2): 16-21. http://zjrms.ir/browse.php?a_id=3059&slc_lang=en&sid=1&printcase=1&hbnr=1&hb=1
 - **Electromagnetic Radiations: A Possible Impact on Population of House Sparrow (Passer Domesticus). (2015)** Shende VA and Patil KG, Vol 1, 2015. <http://journals.abc.us.org/index.php/ei/article/view/766>
 - **Anthropogenic electromagnetic noise disrupts magnetic compass orientation in a migratory bird. (2014)** Engel S et al. 2014 May 15;509(7500):353-6. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24805233>
 - **Electronics' noise disorients migratory birds. Man-made electromagnetic radiation disrupts robins' internal magnetic compasses.(2014)** . [Jessica Morrison](http://www.nature.com). Nature-News. 07 May 2014. <https://www.nature.com/news/electronics-noise-disorients-migratory-birds-1.15176>
 - **Case of House Sparrow (Passer Domesticus) Population Decline: Role of Semi-Nomadic Pastoralist Community (Van Gujjars) in Their Conservation. (2014).** Hussain A et al. Department of Forestry, India. International Journal of Conservation Science. Volume 5, Issue 4, October-December 2014: 493-502. <http://www.ijcs.uaic.ro/public/IJCS-14-44-Hussain.pdf>
 - **Magnetic orientation of garden warblers (Sylvia borin) under 1.4 MHz radiofrequency magnetic field. (2014)** Kavokin K. J R Soc Interface. 2014 Aug 6;11(97):20140451. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24942848>
 - **Effect of mobile phone frequency radiation on early development of chick embryo.(2014)** Jyoti r, Kohli K and Bagai U. International Journal of Science, Environment ISSN 2278-3687 (O) and Technology, Vol. 3, No 3, 2014, 1273 – 1280. <http://www.ijset.net/journal/359.pdf>
 - **Anthropogenic-related Bird Mortality Focusing on Steps to Address Human-caused Problems – a White Paper for the Anthropogenic Panel, 5th International Partners in Flight Conference, August 27, 2013,** Snowbird, Utah. Albert M. Manville, II, Ph.D., Senior Wildlife Biologist, Division of Migratory Bird Management, US Fish and Wildlife Service. <https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/dplu/ceqa/Soitec-Documents/Record-Documents/2014-03-01-StephanVolker-Comment-Letter-Exhibit-16-Soitec-Solar-DPEIR.pdf>
 - **Communication Towers Pluck Birds. (2013)** January 29, 2013. David Biello. Scientific American. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/podcast/episode/communication-towers-pluck-birds-13-01-29/>
 - **Effect of Electromagnetic Mobile Radiation on Chick Embryo Development.(2012)** [Fatma Al-Qudsi](http://www.researchgate.net) and [Solafa Azzouz](http://www.researchgate.net). [Life Science Journal](http://www.researchgate.net). 9(2):983-991. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232262365 Effect of Electromagnetic Mobile Radiation on Chick Embryo Development](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232262365_Effect_of_Electromagnetic_Mobile_Radiation_on_Chick_Embryo_Development)
 - **Biological effects from exposure to electromagnetic radiation emitted by cell tower base stations and other antenna arrays. (2010)** Levitt and Lai. Environmental

Reviews, 2010, 18(NA): 369-395. <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/full/10.1139/A10-018?src=reccsys#.W1e35neZPEY>

- **Effect of cell phone radiation on Gauriya Sparrows *Passer domesticus*.** (2009) Dongre SD and Verma RG. ISSN-0974-2832, Vol. II, Issue-7 (August 2009) <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.398.1596&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- **Communication towers, lights, and birds: successful methods of reducing the frequency of avian collisions.** (2009) Gehring J et al. *Ecol Appl*. 2009 Mar;19(2):505-14. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19323206>
- **Oscillating magnetic field disrupts magnetic orientation in Zebra finches, *Taeniopygia guttata*.** (2009) Keary N. *Front Zool*. 2009 Oct 23;6:25. <https://frontiersinzoology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1742-9994-6-25>
- **The Urban Decline of the House Sparrow (*Passer domesticus*): A Possible Link with Electromagnetic Radiation.** (2007) Balmori A and Hallberg O. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 26: 141–151, 2007. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17613041>
- **A possible effect of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone base stations on the number of breeding house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*)** (2007) Everaert and Bauwens. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine* 26:63–72 , 2007. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17454083>
- **Federal Communications Commission. 2006. Effects of communication towers on migratory birds.** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket No. 03-187, FCC 06-164, Federal Register 71(225): 67510-67518, November 22. Feb 2, 2007 <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view;jsessionid=nCRdSVrXPXQhlpnr8lbQC3GZQcvNnKhgHnnJWgTLQ2ZCRV3DCsFc!1357496456!-1864380355?id=6518724776>
- **Exposure to radio frequency radiation emitted by cell phone and mortality in chick embryos (*Gallus domesticus*).** (2006) Ingole IV and Ghosh SK. *Biomedical Research* (2006) Volume 17, Issue 3. <http://www.alliedacademies.org/articles/exposure-to-radio-frequency-radiation-emitted-by-cell-phone-and-mortality-in-chick-embryos-gallus-domesticus.html>
- **Possible Effects of Electromagnetic Fields from Phone Masts on a Population of White Stork (*Ciconia ciconia*)** (2005) Balmori A. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 24: 109–119, 2005. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15368370500205472>
- **The effects of electromagnetic fields from power lines on avian reproductive biology and physiology: a review.** (2005) Kim J. Fernie and James Reynolds. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*. 8:127-140, 2005. https://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/documents/R2ES/LitCited/LPC_2012/Fernie_and_Reynolds_2005.pdf
- **Magnetic compass orientation of migratory birds in the presence of a 1.315 MHz oscillating field.**(2005) Thalau, P., T. Ritz, K. Stapput, R. Wiltschko, and W. Wiltschko. 2005. *Naturwissenschaften* 92:86-90. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15614508>
- **Resonance effects indicate a radical-pair mechanism for avian magnetic compass.** (2004) Ritz T. *Nature*. 2004 May 13;429(6988):177-80. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature02534>
- **The decline of the House Sparrow: a review.** (2003) Summers-Smith, *Journal of British Birds*, 96:439–446, 2003. <https://britishbirds.co.uk/article/the-decline-of-the-house-sparrow-a-review/>

- **Effects of electromagnetic fields on the reproductive success of American kestrels. (2000).** Fernie KJ et al. Biochem. Zool. 2000, Jan-Feb;73:60–65. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10685907>
- **Mortality of chicken embryos exposed to EMFs from mobile phones. (1998)** Youbicier-Simo BJ et al. Presented at the Twentieth Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, St. Pete Beach, FL, June 1998. <https://www.buergerwelle.de/assets/files/grn/omega55.htm>
- **Evidence of a role for endogenous electrical fields in chick embryo development. (1992)** Hotary and Robinson Development 114:985–996, 1992 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1618158>
- **The properties of bird feathers as converse piezoelectric transducers and as receptors of microwave radiation. II. Bird feathers as dielectric receptors of microwave radiation. (1975)** [Bigu-del-Blanco J](#), [Romero-Sierra C](#). Biotelemetry. 1975;2(6):354-64. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1242004>
- **The properties of bird feathers as converse piezoelectric transducers and as receptors of microwave radiation. Bird feathers as converse piezoelectric transducers. (1975)** 1975;2(6):341-53. Bigu-del-Blanco J et al. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1235241>

Birds Related Articles

- **Forty Percent of the World’s Bird Populations Are in Decline, New Study Finds. April 23, 2018.** Yale Environment 360. <https://e360.yale.edu/digest/forty-percent-of-the-worlds-bird-populations-are-in-decline-new-study-finds>
- **Night-migratory songbirds possess a magnetic compass in both eyes. (2012)** Engels S et al. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e43271. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3440406/>
- **Magnetite-based magnetoreception. (2001)** Kirschvink JL et al. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. Volume 11, Issue 4, August 2001 , Pages 462-467. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095943880000235X>

8 Cell Towers

- **Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem—A review. (2013)** [Sivani Saravanamuttu](#). Biology and Medicine. 4(4):202-216. January 9. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258521207_Impacts_of_radio-frequency_electromagnetic_field_RF-EMF_from_cell_phone_towers_and_wireless_devices_on_biosystem_and_ecosystem-A_review
- **Increased occurrence of nuclear cataract in the calf after erection of a mobile phone base station. (2012)** Hassig M et al. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd. 2012 Feb;154(2):82-6. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22287140>
- **Electromagnetic pollution from phone masts. Effects on wildlife.(2009)** Balmori Pathophysiology, 16: 191–199. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19264463>
- **A possible effect of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone base stations on the number of breeding house sparrows (Passer domesticus) (2007)** Everaert and

Bauwens. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine 26:63–72 , 2007. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17454083>

Government Letters and Papers Cell Towers

- **US Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered Species. Communications Tower Siting, Construction, Operation, and Decommissioning Recommendations. Service Interim(2016) Guidelines.** <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/section7/telecomguidance.html>
- **Department of Interior Letter to National Telecommunications and Information Administration Regarding Adverse Impact of Cell Tower Radiation on Wildlife. (2014)** Willie Taylor, Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance. https://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/us_doi_comments.pdf
- **Albert M Manville. Status of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Developments with Communication Towers with a Focus on Migratory Birds: Updates to Service Staff Involved with Tower Issues – A Webinar. Talking Points and Literature Citations, Available to the Public. (2014)** <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/es/planning/pdf/usfws2013revisedguidancecommtowerssupportinginfo27sept.pdf>
- **Communication tower and antenna consultation in New Jersey. (2013)** Albert M. Manville, Senior Wildlife Biologist & Avian-Structural Lead Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. <https://www.fws.gov/northeast/njfieldoffice/pdf/celltower.pdf>
- **Albert M. Manville. Towers, turbines, power lines, and buildings – steps being taken by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to avoid or minimize take of migratory birds at these structures. (2009)** Manville, A.M., II. 2009. In C.J. Ralph and T.D. Rich (editors). Proceedings 4th International Partners in Flight Conference, February 2008, McAllen, TX. <https://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/manville2009.pdf>
- **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Briefing Paper on the Need for Research into the Cumulative Impacts of Communication Towers on Migratory Birds and Other Wildlife in the United States Division of Migratory Bird Management (DMBM) Public Release Briefing April 17, 2009;** Discusses Potential Radiation Impacts to Birds and Other Pollinators serving as a review of some of the literature. <http://electromagnetichealth.org/pdf/CommTowerResearchNeedsPublicBriefing-2-409.pdf>
- **The Effect of Cell Towers on Birds and Bats at Rock Creek Park, Washington, D.C. (2009)** Dickey and Gates. University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science to Resource Management Specialist National Park Service. <http://www.npshistory.com/publications/rocr/cell-towers-birds-bats.pdf>
- **Concerns Over Potential Radiation Impacts of Cellular Communication Towers on Migratory Birds and Other Wildlife, (2007)** Albert M. Manville, PhD, Senior Wildlife Specialist Gives Congressional Staff Briefing on the Environmental and Human Health Effects of Radiofrequency (RF) Radiation, House Capitol 5, Washington DC. Powerpoint presentation. https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/manville_dc.compressed.pdf
- **US Fish and Wildlife letter to Federal Communications Commission regarding effects of communication towers on migratory birds. Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, (2007)** WT Docket No. 03-187, FCC 06-164, Federal Register 71(225): 67510-67518, November

22. <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view;jsessionid=nCRdSVrXPXQhlpnr8lbQC3GZQcvNnKhgHnnJWgTLQ2ZCRV3DCsFc!1357496456!-1864380355?id=6518724776>

- **Albert M Manville. Bird strikes and electrocutions at power lines, communication towers, and wind turbines: state of the art and state of the science – next steps toward mitigation. (2005)** Bird Conservation Implementation in the Americas: Proceedings 3rd International Partners in Flight Conference 2002, C.J. Ralph and T.D. Rich (eds.). U.S.D.A. Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-GTR-191, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA: 1051-1064. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/treearch/pubs/32105>

9 Fish

- **Potential interactions between diadromous fishes of U.K. conservation importance and the electromagnetic fields and subsea noise from marine renewable energy developments. (2012)** Gill AB et al. *J Fish Biol.* 2012 Jul;81(2):664-95. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22803729>
- **Evidence for Geomagnetic Imprinting as a Homing Mechanism in Pacific Salmon. (2012)** Putnam NF et al. *Current Biology.* Volume 23, Issue 4. Pages 312-316. 18 February 2013 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982213000031>
- **Offshore windmills and the effects of electromagnetic fields on fish. (2007)** Ohman MC et al. *Ambio.* 2007 Dec;36(8):630-3. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18240676>
- **Offshore windmills and the effects of electromagnetic fields on fish. (2007)** Ohman MC et al. *Ambio.* 2007 Dec;36(8):630-3. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18240676>

10 Magnetoreception

- **Magnetoreception in the wood mouse (*Apodemus sylvaticus*): Influence of weak frequency-modulated radio frequency fields. (2015)** Malkemper EP et al. *Scientific Reports.* April 2015. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275654911_Magnetoreception_in_the_wood_mouse_Apodemus_sylvaticus_Influence_of_weak_frequency-modulated_radio_frequency_fields
- **Orientation and Navigation of the European Eel Using the Earth's Magnetic Field and Its Possible Implications for Management. (2014)** Durif C et al. Conference Paper: American Fisheries Society 144th Annual Meeting. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267898629_Orientation_and_Navigation_of_the_European_Eel_Using_the_Earth%27s_Magnetic_Field_and_Its_Possible_Implications_for_Management
- **Rapid Learning of Magnetic Compass Direction by C57BL/6 Mice in a 4-Armed 'Plus' Water Maze. (2013)** Phillips, J et al. *PLoS ONE* 8(8):e73112 · August 2013. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256491013_Rapid_Learning_of_Magnetic_Compass_Direction_by_C57BL6_Mice_in_a_4-Armed_%27Plus%27_Water_Maze
- **It's Electric: Biologists Seek to Crack Cell's Bioelectric Code. (2013)** Researchers have found that cells' bioelectrical communication steers growth and development. It is hoped that if the code can be learned, manipulating cellular signaling could be used to

stave off cancer or even regenerate limbs. Scientific America. March 27, 2013. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/bioelectric-code/>

- **Night-migratory songbirds possess a magnetic compass in both eyes. (2012)** Engels S et al. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e43271. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3440406/>
- **Robins have a magnetic compass in both eyes. (2011)** Hein CM et al. 2011 Mar 31;471(7340):E11-2; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21455128>
- **Lateralization of magnetic compass orientation in pigeons. (2010)** Hein CM et al. *J R Soc Interface*. 2010 Apr 6;7 Suppl 2:S235-40. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20053653>
- **Avian magnetite-based magnetoreception: a physiologist's perspective. (2010).** J R Soc Interface. 2010 Apr 6; 7(Suppl 2): S193–S205.Cadiou H and McNaughton P. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2844004/>
- **Lateralized response of chicks to magnetic cues. (2008)** Rogers LJ et al. *Behave Brain Res*. 2008 Jan 10;186(1):66-71. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17765981>
- **Two different types of light-dependent responses to magnetic fields in birds.(2005)** [Wiltshcko R](#), *Curr Biol*. 2005 Aug 23, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16111946>
- **Resonance effects indicate a radical-pair mechanism for avian magnetic compass. (2004)** Ritz T. *Nature*. 2004 May 13;429(6988):177-80. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15141211>
- **Magnetic compass orientation in European robins is dependent on both wavelength and intensity of light. (2002)** Muheim R. *J Exp Biol*. 2002 Dec;205. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12432008>
- **Magnetite-based magnetoreception. (2001)** Kirschvink JL et al. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. Volume 11, Issue 4, August 2001 , Pages 462-467. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095943880000235X>
- **Magnetic Orientation In Birds . (1996).** Wiltshcko W. and R. Wiltshcko *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 199, 29–38 (1996).
- **Magnetite in human tissues: a mechanism for the biological effects of weak ELF magnetic fields.** [Kirschvink JL](#). 1992;Suppl 1:101-13<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1285705>
- **Biogenic Magnetite as a Basis for Magnetic Field Detection in Animals. Biosystems.** Vol 13. 181-201. Kirschvink,J., Gould, J. <http://web.qps.caltech.edu/~jkirschvink/pdfs/Biosystems1981.pdf>
- **The importance of restoration of the atmospheric electrical environment in closed Bioregenerative Life Supporting Systems. (1996)** [Gorgolewski S](#). *Adv Space Res*.1996;18(4-5):283-5. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11538811>

11 Mammals

- **[Increased occurrence of nuclear cataract in the calf after erection of a mobile phone base station]. (2012)** Hassig et al. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*. 2012 Feb;154(2):82-6. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22287140>
- **Extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields disrupt magnetic alignment of ruminant. (2009)** Burda H et al. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U S A*. 2009 April 7; 106(14): 5708–5713.Published online 2009 March 19. <http://www.pnas.org/content/106/14/5708>

- **Prevalence of nuclear cataract in Swiss veal calves and its possible association with mobile telephone antenna base stations. (2009)** Hässig M et al. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd. 2009 Oct;151(10):471-8. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19780007>
- **Reproductive and developmental effects of EMF in vertebrate animal models.(2009)** Pourlis AF. August 2009. Volume 16, Issues 2-3, Pages 179–189. [https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680\(09\)00015-7/fulltext](https://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680(09)00015-7/fulltext)
- **Cognitive impairment in rats after long-term exposure to GSM-900 mobile phone radiation. (2008)** Nittby H et al. Bioelectromagnetics 29: 219-232: 2008. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18044737> Summary: This study showed cognitive impairment in rats after long-term exposure to PM MW radiation. This is study of rats shows that after 2 hours per week for 55 weeks there was impaired memory for objects in exposed as compared to sham animals.
- **Geomagnetic field modulates artificial static magnetic field effect on arterial baroreflex and on microcirculation. (2007)** Gimitrov J. Int J Biometeorol. 2007 Mar;51(4):335-44. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16983578>
- **Geomagnetic field effect on cardiovascular regulation. (2004)** Gimitrov J and Gimitrov A. Bioelectromagnetics. 2004 Feb;25(2):92-101. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14735558>
- **Verapamil protective effect on natural and artificial magnetic field cardiovascular impact. (2002)** Gmitrov J and Ohkubo C. 2002 Oct;23(7):531-41. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12224057>
- **Radiation-Induced Changes in the Prenatal Development of Mice. (1997)** Magras I and Xenos T. Bioelectromagnetics 18:455-461, 1997. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9261543>
- **Absence of chronic effect of exposure to short-wave radio broadcast signal on salivary melatonin concentrations in dairy cattle. (1997).** Stärk KD et al. Journal of Pineal Research, 22(4), 171-6.. . <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9247202>

12 Non-Thermal, Low Power effects

- **Chronic non-thermal exposure of modulated 2450 MHz microwave radiation alters thyroid hormones and behavior of male rats. (2008)** Sinha RK. International Journal of Radiation Biology, Vol. 84, No. 6, June 2008, pp. 505 – 513. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18470749>
- **Microwave Irradiation Affects Gene Expression in G. Ledoigt .(2006)** Vian A et al. Plant Signal Behav. 2006 Mar-Apr; 1(2): 67–70. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2633881/>
- **Transgenic nematodes as biomonitors of microwave-induced stress. (1998)** Mutation Research, 399(1), 55-64, 1998. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0027510797002662>

13 Plants and Seeds

- **Review: Weak radiofrequency radiation exposure from mobile phone radiation on plants. (2017)** Halgamuge MN. Electromagn Biol Med. 2017;36(2):213-235. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27650031>
- **Exposure to 915 MHz radiation induces micronuclei in Vicia faba root tips. (2016)** Gustavino B, Mutagenesis. 2016 Mar;31(2):187-92. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26476436>
- **Induction of stress volatiles and changes in essential oil content and composition upon microwave exposure in the aromatic plant Ocimum basilicum. (2016)** Lung I et al. Sci Total Environ. 2016 Nov 1;569-570:489-495. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27362630>
- **Plant Responses to High Frequency Electromagnetic Fields.(2016)** A Vian. BioMed Research International. Volume 2016 (2016) <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2016/1830262/>
- **Short-duration exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation alters the chlorophyll fluorescence of duckweeds (Lemna minor). (2014)** Senavirathna MD et al. Electromagn Biol Med. 2014 Dec;33(4):327-34. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24131393>
- **Influence of microwave frequency electromagnetic radiation on terpene emission and content in aromatic plants. (2014)** Soran ML. J Plant Physiol. 2014 Sep 15;171(15):1436-43. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25050479>
- **Effect of Millimeter Waves with Low Intensity on Peroxidase Total Activity and isoenzyme Composition in Cells of Wheat Seedling Shoots (2013)**International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Sciences (IJSRES), 1(9), pp. 217-223, 2013. <http://www.ijsrpub.com/uploads/papers/IJSRES/IJSRES-Sep2013/IJSRES-13-54.pdf>
- **Cell phone radiations affect early growth of Vigna radiata (mung bean) through biochemical alterations. (2010)** Sharma VP et al. Z Naturforsch C. 2010 Jan-Feb;65(1-2):66-72. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20355324>
- **Report on Possible Impacts of Communication Cell Towers on Wildlife Including Birds and Bees.** The Ministry of Environment and Forest. 2010. http://www.moef.nic.in/downloads/public-information/final_mobile_towers_report.pdf
- **Adverse Influence of Radio Frequency Background on Trembling Aspen Seedlings: Preliminary Observations.(2010)** Katie Haggerty. International Journal of Forestry Research, Volume 2010. Article ID 836278 <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijfr/2010/836278/>
- **A possible role for extra-cellular ATP in plant responses to high frequency, low amplitude electromagnetic field. (2008)** Roux D et al, Plant Signaling & Behavior 3:6, 383-385; June 2008; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2634308/>
- **High Frequency (900 MHz) low amplitude (5 V m⁻¹) electromagnetic Field: a genuine environmental stimulus that affects transcription, translation, calcium and energy charge in tomato. (2007)** Roux D et al. Planta. 227(4): 88391, 2007. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18026987>
- **Exposure to radiofrequency radiation induces oxidative stress in duckweed Lemna minor L. (2007)** Tkalec M et al. Sci Total Environ. 2007 Dec 15;388(1-3):78-89. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825879>
- **Plants Respond to GSM-Like Radiation. (2007)** Vian A et al. Plant Signal Behav. 2007 Nov-Dec; 2(6): 522–524. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2634357/>

- **Microwave Irradiation Affects Gene Expression in G. Ledoigt .(2006)** Vian A et al. Plant Signal Behav. 2006 Mar-Apr; 1(2): 67–70. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2633881/>
- **Changes in calcium signalling, gravitropism, and statocyte ultrastructure in pea roots induced by calcium channel blockers. (2004)** Belyavskaya NA et al. J Gravit Physiol. 2004 Jul;11(2):P209-10. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16240511>
- **The Effects of Microwaves on the Trees and Other Plants.(2003)** Spain. http://www.hese-project.org/de/emf/WissenschaftForschung/Balmori_Dr._Alfonso/showDoc.php?lang=de&header=Dr.%20Balmori&file=THE%20EFFECTS%20OF%20MICROWAVES%20ON%20THE%20TREES%20AND%20OTHER%20PLANTS.html&back=../showAuthor.php?target=Balmori_Dr._Alfonso or http://www.boomaantastingen.nl/onderzoek_bomen_planten.pdf
- **Growth and yield of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and corn (Zea mays L.) near a high voltage transmission line. (2003)** Soga G et al. Bioelectromagnetics. 2003 Feb;24(2):91-102. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12524675>
- **Ultrastructure and calcium balance in meristem cells of pea roots exposed to extremely low magnetic fields. (2001)** Belyavskaya NA et al. Adv. Space Res, 28: 645-650. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11803967>
- **Plant mitochondria and oxidative stress: Electron Transport, NADPH Turnover, and Metabolism of Reactive Oxygen Species. (2001)** Moller IM. [Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11337409) 2001 Jun;52:561-591. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11337409>
- **Cytogenetic changes induced by low-intensity microwaves in the species Triticum aestivum. (1998)** [Article in Romanian]. Pavel A et al. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi. 1998 Jul-Dec;102(3-4):89-92. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10756851>
- **Long-term exposure of young spruce and beech trees to 2450-MHz microwave radiation.(1996)** Schmutz P et al. Science of the Total Environment. [Volume 180, Issue 1, 2 February 1996 , Pages 43-48.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049185) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049185>
- **Response of Pinus sylvestris L. needles to electromagnetic fields. Cytological and ultrastructural aspects. (1996)** Selga Tand Selga M. Skrunda Radio Location Station, Latvia. Science of The Total Environment, 180(1), 65-73, 1996. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049215>

14 RadioTracking of Animals

- **Radiotelemetry and wildlife: Highlighting a gap in the knowledge on radiofrequency radiation effects. (2016)** Balmori A. Sci Total Environ. 2016 Feb 1;543(Pt A):662-9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26615484>
- **Estimating Duration of Short-Term Acute Effects of Capture Handling and Radiomarking. (2010)** Journal of Wildlife Management.(2010) Holt RD et al. 73(6):989 – 995 · December 2010. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229667015_Estimating_Duration_of_Short-Term_Acute_Effects_of_Capture_Handling_and_Radiomarking
- **Indirect Negative Impacts of Radio-Collaring: Sex Ratio Variation in Water Voles. (2005)** Moorhouse and MacDonald. Journal of Applied Ecology. 22 February

2005. <https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.00998.x>

- **Demography, extinction and intervention in a small population: the case of the Serengeti wild dogs. (1994)** Burrows R et al. *Proc Biol Sci.* 1994 Jun 22;256(1347):281-92. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8058803>
- **Effects of ear-tagging on survival of moose calves. Journal of Wildlife Management. (1991)** 63(1):354-358 · January 1999. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236994469_Effects_of_ear-tagging_on_survival_of_moose_calves
- **EFFECTS OF RADIO TAGS ON SPOTTED OWLS. (1991)** Paton P et al. US Forest Service. Department of Agriculture. *J Wildl Management* 55(4):617-622. <https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/paton/paton1.PDF>

15 Trees

- **Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations. (2016)** Waldmann-Selsam C. *Sci Total Environ.* 2016 Dec 1;572:554-569. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27552133>.
- **Damage from Chronic High Frequency Exposure; Mobile Telecommunications, WiFi, Radar, Radio Relay Systems, Terrestrial Radio, TV, etc. First Symposium; The effect of electromagnetic radiation on trees, The Groene Paviljoen, Baarn.** PowerPoint presentation. (2011) Volker S. Ing. Dipl. Phys. 18.2011.
- **Adverse Influence of Radio Frequency Background on Trembling Aspen Seedlings: Preliminary Observations. (2010)** Katie Haggerty. *International Journal of Forestry Research.* Volume 2010, <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijfr/2010/836278/>
- **Does the Skrunnda Radio Location Station diminish the radial growth of pine trees. 1996.** Balodis VG. et al. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 180: 57-64. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049207>
- **Response of Pinus sylvestris L. needles to electromagnetic fields. Cytological and ultrastructural aspects. (1996)** *Science of The Total Environment.* Selga and Selga. Volume 180, Issue 1,2. February 1996 , Pages 65-73. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049215>
- **Studies on the Effects of Radio-Frequency Fields on Conifers. (1996)** Lerchl D et al. University of Wuppertal, Chair of Electromagnetic Theory; Conference Poster. <http://www.iddd.de/umtsno/lebewesen/lebewesen7.htm>
- **Long-term exposure of young spruce and beech trees to 2450-MHz microwave radiation. (1996).** Schmutz P et al. *Science of the Total Environment.* Volume 180, issue 1,2 February 1996 , Pages 43-48. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969795049185>